From the INTERNATIONAL BUREAU

NOTIFICATION CONCERNING TRANSMITTAL OF COPY OF INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (CHAPTER I OF THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY)

(PCT Rule 44bis.1(c))

To:

HIGHET, David, W. Becton Dickinson and Company 1 Becton Drive Franklin Lakes, NJ 07417-1880 ETATS-UNIS D'AMERIQUE

Date of mailing (day/month/year) 20 July 2006 (20.07.2006)

Applicant's or agent's file reference

P-6250.70

International application No. PCT/US2005/000624 International filing date (day/month/year) 07 January 2005 (07.01.2005) Priority date (day/month/year) 09 January 2004 (09.01.2004)

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Applicant

BECTON, DICKINSON AND COMPANY et al

The International Bureau transmits herewith a copy of the international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I of the Patent Cooperation

Computer Updated

Date:

Initial:



The International Bureau of WIPO 34, chemin des Colombettes 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland

Authorized officer

Simin Baharlou

Facsimile No. +41 22 338 82 70

e-mail: pt09@wipo.int

PATENT COOPERATION TREAT.

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(Chapter I of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Rule 44bis)

Applicant's or agent's file reference P-6250.70	FOR FURTHER ACTION	See item 4 below	
International application No. PCT/US2005/000624	International filing date (day/month/year) 07 January 2005 (07.01.2005)	Priority date (day/month/year) 09 January 2004 (09.01.2004)	
International Patent Classification (8th See relevant information in Form F	h edition unless older edition indicated) PCT/ISA/237		
Applicant BECTON, DICKINSON AND COM	PANY		

1.	This international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) is issued by the International Bureau on behalf of the International Searching Authority under Rule 44 bis.1(a).		
2.	This REPORT consists of a total of 6 sheets, including this cover sheet.		
	In the attached sheets, any reference to the written opinion of the International Searching Authority should be read as a reference to the international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) instead.		
3.	3. This report contains indications relating to the following items:		
	Box No. I	Basis of the report	
	Вох №. П	Priority	
	Box No. III	Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability	
	Box No. IV	Lack of unity of invention	
	Box No. V	Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement	
	Box No. VI	Certain documents cited	
	Box No. VII	Certain defects in the international application	
	Box No. VIII	Certain observations on the international application	
4.	The International Bureau will co not, except where the applicant r date (Rule 44bis .2).	mmunicate this report to designated Offices in accordance with Rules 44bis.3(c) and 93bis.1 but makes an express request under Article 23(2), before the expiration of 30 months from the priority	

	Date of issuance of this report 10 July 2006 (10.07.2006)
The International Bureau of WIPO 34, chemin des Colombettes 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland	Authorized officer Simin Baharlou
Facsimile No. +41 22 338 82 70	e-mail: pt09@wipo.int

Form PCT/IB/373 (January 2004)

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

-rom the NTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHO	DRITY		WIPO DOT	
To:			PCT	
see form PCT/ISA/220		WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (PCT Rule 43 <i>bis</i> .1)		
		Date of mailing (day/month/year) see	e form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet)	
Applicant's or agent's file reference see form PCT/ISA/220		FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraph 2 below		
International application No. PCT/US2005/000624	International filing date (c 07.01.2005	day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year) 09.01.2004	
International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC A61M5/315				
Applicant BECTON, DICKINSON AND COM	IPANY			
 □ Box No. IV Lack of unity o ☑ Box No. V Reasoned state applicability; ci □ Box No. VI Certain documents 	pinion ment of opinion with regard invention tement under Rule 43 <i>bis</i> itations and explanations tents cited s in the international app	ard to novelty, inventions.1(a)(i) with regard to supporting such state	ve step and industrial applicability novelty, inventive step or industrial rement	
2. FURTHER ACTION				
If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usually be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA"). However, this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notifed the International Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.				
If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of three months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.				
For further options, see Form PC 3. For further details, see notes to				
Name and mailing address of the ISA:		Authorized Officer	neses Primere	



European Patent Office D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465

Ehrsam, F

Telephone No. +49 89 2399-2343



IAPS Rec'd PCT/PTO 27 SEP 2006

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/US2005/000624

10/594335

	Box N	o. I Basis of the opinion		
1.	With regard to the language , this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.			
	la	nis opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following nguage , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search nder Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).		
2.	With reneces:	egard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and sary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:		
	a. type	of material:		
		a sequence listing		
		table(s) related to the sequence listing		
	b. forn	nat of material:		
		in written format		
		in computer readable form		
	c. time	of filing/furnishing:		
		contained in the international application as filed.		
		filed together with the international application in computer readable form.		
		furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.		
3.	h: Co	addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto as been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional opies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as opropriate, were furnished.		
4.	I. Additional comments:			

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/US2005/000624

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

5-22,25-32

No:

No:

Claims

1-4,23-25

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

No: Claims

1-32

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims

Claims

1-32

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application

The following defects in the form or contents of the international application have been noted:

see separate sheet

Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application

The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the question whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made:

see separate sheet

IAP5 Rec'd PCT/PTO 27 SEP 2006

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEE<u>T)</u>

PCT/US2005/000624

1. The following documents are referred to in this communication; the numbering will be adhered to in the rest of the procedure:

D1: US-A-4 312 344 (NILSON ET AL) 26 January 1982 (1982-01-26)

D2: US-A-5 496 285 (SCHUMACHER ET AL) 5 March 1996 (1996-03-05)

D3: US-B1-6 200 627 (LUBRECHT THEA E) 13 March 2001 (2001-03-13)

D4: US-A-4 500 310 (CHRISTINGER ET AL) 19 February 1985 (1985-02-19)

D5: WO 03/093108 A (COMAR, INC) 13 November 2003 (2003-11-13)

See point V of the report:

- 1. The present application does not meet the requirements of Article 33 (2) PCT, because the subject-matter of claims 1-4 and 23-25 is not new in the sense of Article 33 (2) PCT. Indeed, document D1 discloses all the features of mentioned claims, in particular figures 5,8,9 show the similar principle of piston which is elastically deformable for moving additional fluid distally in said passageway at the completion of the flush procedure. The same objection applies to DE-B-3525347 D4, see in particular col. 9, line 35 to col. 10, line 32 and figures 13 and 14.
- 2. A combination of the features of any of claims 5-22 with claim 1 and 26-32 with claim 23 would not result in an independent Claim involving an inventive step, since all the features appear to represent commonly known, non-inventive modifications.

See point VII of the report:

- 1. The description must be brought into conformity with the new claims to be filed; care should be taken during revision, especially of the introductory portion including any statements of problem or advantage, not to add subject-matter which extends beyond the content of the application as originally filed (Art. 34 2) b)).
- 2. To meet the requirements of Rules 6 3 b) the independent claim should be properly cast in a two part form, with those features which in combination are part of the nearest prior

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/US2005/000624

art being placed in the first part.

- To meet the requirements of Rule 5.1 a vi, the cited documents should be identified in the description and the relevant background art therein is to be indicated.
- 4. The features of the claims are not provided with reference signs placed in parentheses (Rule 6.2(b) PCT).

See point VIII of the report:

1. Although claims 1 and 23 have been drafted as separate independent claims, they appear to relate effectively to the same subject-matter and to differ from each other only with regard to the definition of the subject-matter for which protection is sought and in respect of the terminology used for the features of that subject-matter. The aforementioned claims therefore lack conciseness. Moreover, lack of clarity of the claims as a whole arises, since the plurality of independent claims makes it difficult, if not impossible, to determine the matter for which protection is sought, and places an undue burden on others seeking to establish the extent of the protection.

Hence, claims 1 and 23 do not meet the requirements of Rule 6 PCT.